



JAN 23 2002

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

Dennis G. LaPointe  
Mason & Associates, P.A.  
17757 US Hwy 19 N., Suite 500  
Clearwater, FL 33764

In re Application of MIYAZAWA et al  
U.S. Application No.: 09/869,458  
Int. Application No.: PCT/JP99/07397  
Int. Filing Date: 28 December 1999  
Priority Date: 29 December 1998  
Attorney Docket No.: 1576.89  
For: PROCESSES FOR PRODUCING  
ACRYLIC ACID DERIVATIVE

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

## DECISION

This is in response to applicants' "Renewed Petition Under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.182 to Correct Inventor's Name" filed 02 January 2002.

## BACKGROUND

On 28 December 1999, applicants filed international application PCT/JP99/07397, which claimed priority of an earlier Japan application filed 29 December 1998. A copy of the international application was communicated to the USPTO from the International Bureau on 13 July 2000. A Demand for international preliminary examination, in which the United States was elected, was filed on 15 June 2000, prior to the expiration of nineteen months from the priority date. Accordingly, the thirty-month period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired at midnight on 29 June 2001.

On 26 June 2001, applicants filed national stage papers in the United States. The submission was accompanied by, *inter alia*, authorization to charge the basic national fee required by 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(1) and an executed declaration.

On 06 August 2001, the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) mailed a Notification of Missing Requirements Under 35 U.S.C. 371 (Form PCT/DO/EO/905), which indicated that a properly executed oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497 must be filed along with a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.492(e) for providing the oath or declaration later than thirty (30) months from the priority date.

On 04 October 2001, applicants filed a petition to correct an inventor's name.

On 29 November 2001, this Office mailed a decision dismissing the 04 October 2001 petition.

On 02 January 2002, applicants filed the present renewed petition.

### DISCUSSION

A review of the application file reveals that the given name of the third inventor is listed in the international application as "Hiroshi" while the given name is listed in the declaration as "Yutaka". As set forth in the 29 November 2001 decision, a petition to correct an inventor's name must be accompanied by the requisite petition fee of \$130.00 as well as statements from the inventor and any other persons having firsthand knowledge of the error. The 29 November 2001 further indicated that these statements must set forth the specific circumstances as to how and when the error was made and discovered and must also set forth that the mistake was an inadvertent error without deceptive intent.


In the present case, applicants have submitted an acceptable statement by the supervisor of the inventor Ishii. Applicants have also submitted a statement by the inventor Ishii. However, Ishii's statement does not explicitly set forth that the mistake was an inadvertent error without deceptive intent. With regard to the petition fee, the present petition requests that the fee for filing a late declaration be applied to the petition fee. Such request cannot be granted until the declaration filed 26 June 2001 is deemed acceptable.

### CONCLUSION

For the reasons above, the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.182 is DISMISSED without prejudice.

If reconsideration on the merits of this decision is desired, a proper response must be filed within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Failure to timely file a proper response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application. Any reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.182" and must include the appropriate statement by the inventor as discussed above. Extensions of time are available pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136.

Please direct further correspondence with respect to this matter to the Commissioner for Patents, Box PCT, Washington, D.C. 20231, and address the contents of the letter to the attention of the PCT Legal Office.

  
Bryan Tung  
PCT Legal Examiner  
PCT Legal Office

Telephone: 703-308-6614  
Facsimile: 703-308-6459